

Memorandum from the Office of the Inspector General

November 5, 2014

William D. Johnson, WT 7B-K

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL – INDEPENDENT REPORT ON THE AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES FOR TVA FISCAL YEAR 2014 PERFORMANCE MEASURES

We have performed the procedures enumerated in Attachment 1, Agreed-Upon Procedures and Findings, which were requested and agreed to by TVA management solely to assist management in determining the validity of the Winning Performance (WP) payout awards for year ending September 30, 2014. TVA management is responsible for the WP payout award data provided.

In summary, we found:

- The fiscal year (FY) 2014 WP goals for the enterprise-wide and Strategic Business Unit (SBU) measures were properly approved. One scorecard adjustment change form for FY2014 was approved by the Chief Executive Officer on September 22, 2014. The change form affected three scorecards and three measures and/or payout percentages.
- The FY2014 goals (i.e., target) for the corporate multiplier measures were properly approved.
- The actual year-to-date results for the SBU scorecard measures agreed with the respective supporting documentation, without exception.
- The actual year-to-date results for the enterprise-wide scorecard measures agreed with the underlying support, without exception.
- The actual year-to-date results for the corporate multiplier measures agreed with the underlying support, without exception.
- The FY2014 WP payout percentages provided by the Benchmarking and Performance Analysis organization on October 20, 2014, were mathematically accurate and agreed with the Office of the Inspector General's recalculations. TVA payout percentages are included in Attachment 2.

The procedures performed and corresponding detail findings are presented in Attachment 1.

William D. Johnson Page 2 November 5, 2014

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit or a review of the matters addressed herein, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion or limited assurance on WP payout awards. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or limited assurance. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. TVA management determined the agreed-upon procedures to be performed and, therefore, the sufficiency of these procedures is the responsibility of TVA management. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

This report is intended solely to assist TVA management in determining the validity of the payout awards and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes.

We appreciate the cooperation and support provided by your staff. If you have any questions or would like to discuss further, please contact me at (865) 633-7373.

Daw P. Whulm

David P. Wheeler
Deputy Assistant Inspector General
(Audits)
ET 3C-K

MAD:BSC Attachments cc (Attachments):

> Gregory F. Barker, WT 8D-K Katherine J. Black, LP 6A-C Melanie E. Farrell, MR 3K-C Donna G. Golden, LP 3A-C Dwain K. Lanier, MR 3K-C Justin C. Maierhofer, WT 7B-K Michael J. McCall, MR 3K-C R. Windle Morgan, WT 4D-K Richard W. Moore, ET 4C-K A. Douglas Perry, MR 3B-C John M. Thomas III, MR 6D-C TVA Board of Directors Diane T. Wear, WT 4B-K OIG File No. 2015-15267

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS

Agreed-Upon Procedure		Findings
1.	Compare the approved measures, weights, and goals (i.e., threshold, target, and stretch) on the enterprise-wide and Strategic Business Unit (SBU) balanced scorecards with the measures, weights, and goals (i.e., threshold, target, and stretch) used in the calculations for year ending September 30, 2014, and trace all differences to approved change forms obtained from the Benchmarking and Performance Analysis organization.	We compared the approved measures, weights, and goals (i.e., threshold, target, and stretch) on the enterprise-wide and SBU balanced scorecards with the measures, weights, and goals (i.e., threshold, target, and stretch) used in the calculations for year ending September 30, 2014, and traced all differences to approved change forms, without exception. One scorecard adjustment change form for fiscal year (FY) 2014 was approved by the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) on September 22, 2014. The change form affected three scorecards and three measures and/or payout percentages. The enterprise-wide and SBU scorecards and associated definition sheets were approved by the CEO on April 21, 2014.
2.	Compare the goals (i.e., target) of the corporate multiplier measure to the goals (i.e., target) shown on SBU balanced scorecards for year ending September 30, 2014, and trace all differences to approved change forms obtained from the Benchmarking and Performance Analysis organization.	No exceptions were found as a result of applying the procedure. We compared the approved goals (i.e., target) of the corporate multiplier measure to the goals (i.e., target) shown on the balanced scorecards for the year ending September 30, 2014, to the measures TVA used to calculate the Winning Performance (WP) payout percentages, without exception. The TVA corporate multiplier performance measures and goals for FY2014 were approved by the TVA Board of Directors on August 22, 2013. According to the board minutes and the memorandum referenced in them, the measures and goals (i.e., results) of the corporate multiplier will be reviewed by the CEO and the TVA Board after the fiscal yearend and a determination made of what the multiplier is. As they have not yet met, the multiplier has not been determined.

AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES AND FINDINGS (Cont.)

Agreed-Upon Procedure		Findings
3.	Sample the measures on the SBU balanced scorecards. Compare the actual year-to-date for September 2014 on the SBU balanced scorecards for the selected measures to the supporting documentation.	We compared all of the measures on the SBU scorecards to the respective supporting documentation provided, without exception.
4.	Compare the actual year-to-date for the enterprise-wide measures to the underlying support.	We compared the actual year-to-date results for the enterprise-wide balanced scorecard measures to the definition sheets and the respective supporting documentation, without exception.
5.	Compare the actual year-to-date results for the corporate multiplier measures to the underlying support.	We compared the actual year-to-date results for the corporate multiplier measures to the underlying support, without exception. According to the board minutes and the memorandum referenced in them, the measures and goals (i.e., results) of the corporate multiplier will be reviewed by the CEO and the TVA Board after the fiscal year-end and a determination made of what the multiplier is. As they have not yet met, the multiplier has not been determined. The actual year-to-date results are included in Attachment 2.
6.	Test the mathematical accuracy of the FY2014 WP payout percentages.	The FY2014 WP payout percentages provided by the Benchmarking and Performance Analysis organization on October 20, 2014, were mathematically accurate and agreed with the Office of the Inspector General's recalculations. TVA payout percentages are included in Attachment 2.

Scorecard	Payout Percentage
TVA Corporate	125.25%
Operations	117.77%
Nuclear Operations	121.91%
Power Operations	119.00%
Projects	125.25%
Transmission	121.16%
Nuclear Construction	113.47%

Corporate Multiplier	Results
Safety – Recordable Incident Rate	0.52
Total Financing Obligations (\$ Billion)	26,072
Operating Cash Flow (\$ Million)	2,980
Net Income (\$ Million)	469
Jobs Created and Retained	60,378
Board Level Significant Events	0